

REMARKS

Claims 1-14 are pending and under consideration in the above-identified application. In the Office Action of July 24, 2008, claims 1-14 were rejected. Claims 3, 6, 7, 10, 13 and 14 were previously cancelled and remain cancelled.

With this Amendment, claims 1, 2, 8 and 9 are amended.

Accordingly, claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 11 and 12 are at issue.

I. 35 U.S.C. § 102 Anticipation Rejection of Claims

Claims 1-14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by *Yamada et al.* (US 7,102,282). Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

In relevant part, each of the independent claims 1, 2, 8 and 9 recite a resonator structure which includes a first electrode and a second electrode which both reflect light and the materials and thicknesses of the first electrode and a second electrode are selected such that first and the second electrodes both reflect outside light at substantially the same strength and the phases of the reflected outside light are inverted.

This is clearly unlike *Yamada* which fails to disclose or even suggest a resonator structure which includes a first electrode and a second electrode which both reflect light and the materials and thicknesses of the first electrode and a second electrode are selected such that first and the second electrodes both reflect outside light at substantially the same strength and the phases of the reflected outside light are inverted. Instead, *Yamada* discloses a **transparent second electrode** which does not reflect light and a reflective layer below the transparent second electrode which reflects light. See, U.S. Pat. No. 7,102,282, Col. 7, l. 49-65. Further, since the second electrode is transparent, the second electrode cannot reflect outside light at the same strength as the first electrode since the second electrode is transparent and is incapable of

reflecting light. Since *Yamada* discloses a transparent second electrode, it fails to recite a required element of the claim.

As the Applicant's specification teaches, by providing a resonator structure which includes a first electrode and a second electrode which both reflect light and the materials and thicknesses of the first electrode and a second electrode are selected such that first and the second electrodes both reflect outside light at substantially the same strength and the phases of the reflected outside light are inverted, the amount of outside light reflected is reduced to 20% or less which produces an image of the same quality as a conventional high-contrast CRT. See, U.S. Pat. Pub. No. 2004/0156405, Para [0040].

Therefore, because *Yamada* fails to disclose or even fairly suggest all of the features of claims 1, 2, 8 and 9, the rejection of claims 1, 2, 8 and 9 cannot stand. Because claims 4, 5, 11 and 12 depend either directly or indirectly from claims 1, 2, 8 and 9, they are allowable for at least the same reasons as claims 1, 2, 8 and 9.

II. Conclusion

In view of the above amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that all claims are clearly allowable over the cited prior art, and respectfully requests early and favorable notification to that effect.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: October 24, 2008

By: /David R. Metzger/
David R. Metzger
Registration No. 32,919
SONNENSCHN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP
P.O. Box 061080
Wacker Drive Station, Sears Tower
Chicago, Illinois 60606-1080
(312) 876-8000